AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		F PAGES	
AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			J			3	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE			5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable		able)	
0011	21-Nov-2002	W16ROE-1323-3646					
6. ISSUED BY CODE	DACW51	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6)	COI	DE			
USA ENGINEER DISTRICT, NEW YORK ATTN: CENAN-CT ROOM 1843 26 FEDERAL PLAZA (DACW51) NEW YORK NY 10278-0090		See Item 6					
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR	(No., Street, County	, State and Zip Code)	9A. AMENDM DACW51-02-B	ENT OF SO	OLICITATI	ON NO.	
	•	-	on n.mmn (6				
			9B. DATED (S 15-Feb-2002	EEIIEMI	11)		
			10A. MOD. OF	10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.			
		-	10B. DATED	(SEE ITEM	/ 13)		
CODE FACILITY CODE				(SEE ITEM	1 13)		
		PPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLIC	ITATIONS				
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set for	th in Item 14. The hour and	date specified for receipt of Offer	is extended,	is not exte	ended.		
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR T REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this a provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the telegram of telegram of the telegram of telegram o	reference to the solicitation HE RECEIPT OF OFFER mendment you desire to ch the solicitation and this ame	on and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUI S PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIF ange an offer already submitted, such change may	R ACKNOWLEDGME D MAY RESULT IN be made by telegram o	NT TO BE	d;		
13 THIS ITEM	A APPLIES ONLY TO	O MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/	OBDEBS				
		T/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITE					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PUR CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A		y authority) THE CHANGES SET FORT	H IN ITEM 14 AR	E MADE II	N THE		
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FOR				ch as chang	ges in paying	5	
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and	d authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to si	gn this document and return	copies to the issuin	g office.			
 DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIF where feasible.) The purpose of this amendment is to mak The second purpose of this amendment is change in performance period as described 11:00 AM. All other terms and conditions remain unc All Bidders must acknowledge receipt of the methods: In the space provided on the SF 14 ACKNOWLEDGE AMENDMENTS BY THE DATALATE BID, LATE MODIFICATIONS OF BIDS 0 	e clarifications to Ames to establish a due din Amendment No. 10 hanged. his amendment by the thick amendment by t	endment No. #7, see attached on page late for the submission of revised step 0. Revised proposals are required to be determined to be determin	2 and 3 of this do 1 technical propose e submitted by De s amended) by or k 15 below. FAIL	cument. cal due to the ecember 3, ne of the folure	he 2002 at Ilowing		
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the d							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CO	TRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)				
		TEL:	EMAIL:				
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNE	D 16B. UNITED STATES OF AMER	ICA	160	C. DATE S	IGNED	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		Signature of Contracting Off	:	2	21-Nov-200	2	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text: AMENDMENT #11

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW YORK DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 26 FEDERAL PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10278

20 November 2002

AMENDMENT NO. 11

PROJECT NO. DACW51-02-B-0001
TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS
MAINTENANCE DREDGING AND DISPOSITION OF DREDGED MATERIAL FROM FLUSHING BAY &
CREEK, NEW YORK, FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHANNEL

TO PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS:

1) The following clarifications are hereby made to Amendment No. 07, dated October 10, 2002. Text added to amendment No. 07 for clarification is represented by an underline. Text deleted is left in place with strikethrough font. Clarifications to amendment No. 07, Issues 2 and 3 are shown below.

Issue two: Due to the performance of concurrent dredging projects, the use of the same upland facility does not appear feasible. Can a new permitted disposal facility be introduced for consideration if there is a schedule conflict?

Response: The project managers were consulted for the two projects that were referenced in the letter as possibly interfering with the performance of the above referenced projects. One will be done before the subject projects start, and the other will start soon and run for several months. This second project, Port Jersey, may overlap with the above referenced projects or may be done before the above projects begin. The disposal facility stated in a letter referencing the above referenced projects that they are capable of processing up to 15,000 cubic yards per day from multiple projects. Each of the above referenced projects should produce an average of 4,000 cubic yards per day, significantly less than the total capacity.

Any request to introduce a new disposal facility is premature. For the above stated reasons, there does not seem to be a conflict. There is some flexibility in the schedules of the dredging of Flushing Bay, Arthur Kill and Port Jersey projects around the OENJ schedule, that can be evaluated before the need for a new disposal facility is considered. Changing facilities would cause significant delays in obtaining and reviewing the permits for the new facility. We have already invested time in the review of the disposal facility proposed in the Offeror's technical proposal and would not consider duplicating this effort and enduring a delay unless absolutely necessary.

If the use of the proposed upland facility is not feasible at the time that the work is to proceed, then a request to change disposal facility can be made and the contract price re-negotiated, if necessary.

Comment: The Government reserves the right to reject the contractor's request to change the disposal facility.

Issue three: The sampling and testing prior to award is an extreme hardship. If the sample results should fail in that the material cannot be disposed of expeditiously, the contractor will be faced with unnecessary claims, delay and even cancellation.

Response: The 70 day period of time to sample and obtain a permit for the disposal facility following the notice of being the low bidder is to provide a reasonable timeframe for the expected schedule of work. If the Offeror cannot sample and obtain permits for the disposal facility in what is considered to be a reasonable time frame due to inefficiencies in his process, then USACE has the right not to award the contract, or to proceed to the next lowest bidder.

If, on the other hand, the apparent low bidder cannot obtain permits in that time frame because sampling results indicate that the material exceeds the allowable limits for their chosen site, we will consider allowing an additional 30 days to arrange for an alternative site.

<u>Comment: The government does not commit to accept changes if the dredged material is not compatible with the offeror's proposed disposal facility.</u>

(End clarification of amendment No. 7)

2) The second purpose of this amendment is to establish a due date for the submission of revised step 1 technical proposals due to the change in performance period as described in Amendment No. 10. The project performance period had been changed from January to February 2003 to **July to August 2003** due to the approaching environmental no dredge window. The availability of the disposal site, dredging capabilities, transportation capabilities and processing capabilities need to be reconfirmed by the Offeror for the new project performance period in accordance with the Step 1 solicitation as described in Amendment No. 10.

Revised proposals, in accordance with Amendment No. 10, are required to be submitted by December 3, 2002 at 11:00.

Sincerely,

Ella Snell Chief, Contracting Division

NOTE: Only offerors that had submitted proposals in the final discussions under the previously held step 1 are requested to submit revised proposals under this Amendment. That is, revised proposals will be accepted only from offerors who had submitted revised proposals in response to discussion letters dated July 18, 2002 from this office.

(End of Summary of Changes)